Survey design-informed inference with British Social Attitudes Survey data using R

UK Data Service

June 2024

This exercise is part of the 'Introduction to the British Social Attitudes Survey (BSA)' online module. In this exercise, we will practice statistical inference with data from the British Social Attitudes Survey (BSA) 2017 using weights and survey design variables.

Please note that at the time of writing this document only some of the BSA editions include survey design variables. For more information about inference from social surveys, including cases where weights and/or survey design variables are not available, please consult our guidelines.

Answers to the questions asked throughout the exercise can be found at the end of the page.

Getting started

Data can be downloaded from the UK Data Service website following registration. Download the compressed folder, unzip and save it somewhere accessible on your computer.

The examples below assume that the dataset has been saved in a new folder named *UKDS* on your Desktop (Windows computers). The path would typically be C:\Users\YOUR_USER_NAME\Desktop\UKDS. Feel free to change it to the location that best suits your needs

The code below will need to be adjusted in order to match the location of the data on your computer.

We begin by loading the R packages needed for the exercise and set the working directory.

```
library(dplyr) ### Data manipulation functions
library(haven) ### Functions for importing data from commercial packages
library(Hmisc) ### Extra statistical functions
library(survey) ### Survey design functions
```

```
### Setting up the working directory
### Change the setwd() command to match the location of the data on your computer
### if required
setwd("C:\Users\Your_Username_here\")
getwd()
# Opening the BSA dataset in SPSS format
bsa17<-read_spss("data/UKDA-8450-spss/spss/spss25/bsa2017_for_ukda.sav")</pre>
```

[1] C:\Users\Your_Username_here\

1. Identifying the survey design and variables

We first need to find out about the survey design that was used in the BSA 2017, and the design variables available in the dataset. Such information can usually be found in the documentation that comes together with the data under the mrdoc/pdf folder or in the data catalogue pages for the data on the UK Data Service website.

Question 1

What is the design that was used in this survey (i.e. how many sampling stages were there, and what were the units sampled). What were the primary sampling units; the strata (if relevant)?

Now that we are a bit more familiar with the way the survey was designed, we need to try and identify the design variables we can include when producing estimates. The information can usually be found in the data documentation or the data dictionary available in the BSA documentation.

Question 2

What survey design variables are available? Are there any that are missing – if so which ones? What is the name of the weights variables?

2. Specifying the survey design

We need to tell R about the survey design. In practice this often means specifying the units selected at the initial sampling stage ie the *Primary Sampling Units*, as well as the strata. This is achieved with the svydesign() command. In effect this command creates a copy of the dataset with the survey design information attached, that can then subsequently be used for further estimation.

[1] "survey.design2" "survey.design"

summary(bsa17.s) ### Warning: very long output

Stratified 1 - level Cluster Sampling design (with replacement) With (372) clusters. svydesign(ids = ~Spoint, strata = ~StratID, weights = ~WtFactor, data = bsa17)Probabilities: Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 0.2645 0.8288 1.0983 1.2386 1.6236 3.3318 Stratum Sizes: 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 obs design.PSU actual.PSU 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 obs design.PSU З actual.PSU 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 obs design.PSU actual.PSU 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 obs design.PSU actual.PSU 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 obs design.PSU actual.PSU 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 obs design.PSU

actual.PSU	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219
obs	28	25	19	18	28	15	21	30	24	33	24	22	30	24	44	18	26
design.PSU	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	2
actual.PSU	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	2
	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236
obs	22	28	20	27	34	33	41	24	23	26	17	23	36	20	45	32	27
design.PSU	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3
actual.PSU	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3
	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253
obs	33	25	39	31	29	33	20	43	22	24	26	29	37	22	27	25	43
design.PSU	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3
actual.PSU	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3
	254	255	256	257	258	259											
obs	7	32	26	25	28	35											
design.PSU	2	3	2	2	2	3											
actual.PSU	2	3	2	2	2	3											
Data varia	oles	:															
[1] "Ssei	rial	II.			" 2	Spoir	ıt"				"St	rat	ED"				
[4] "WtFa	acto	r"			"()ldWt	;"				"G(DR_II)"				
[7] "ABCV	Ver"				"("Country" "househlde"											
[10] "hhty	ypee	I			"H	lsex'	1				"R#	\geE'	1				
[13] "RAge	eCat	I			"H	"RAgeCat2"					"R#	"RAgecat3"					
[16] "RAge	ecat	1"			"H	"RAgecat5"					"RS	"RSexAge"					
[19] "RSez	xAge	2"			"1	"MarStat"					"Ma	"Married"					
[22] "legr	nars	te"			"("ChildHh"					"no	"nch415e"					
[25] "nch3	318e	I			"}	hch()4e"				"hł	1ch51	l1e"				
[28] "hhcł	n121	ōe"			"}	1hch1	l617e	э"			"ro	ch04e	э"				
[31] "rch	511e	I			נ"	cch12	215e'	1			"ro	ch161	l7e"				
[34] "owno	che"				נ"	recor	nacte	э"			"RI	last.	Job"				
[37] "seco	onact	te"			"H	Readp	bap"				"Wł	ıPape	er"				
[40] "papt	type	1			"	CVNev	/S"				"W€	ebNev	vs"				
[43] "WNwS	Site	1"			"1	VNwSi	te2'	1			"SN	News	3"				
[46] "Inte	ernet	t"			"	[ntPe	ers"				"Me	edRes	sI"				
[49] "SupI	Part	y"			"(Close	Pty'	1			"Pa	artyl	EDN"				
[52] "Part	tyid	1"			"H	Party	/Id2'	1			"Pa	artyl	[D3"				
[55] "Pty <i>l</i>	Alle	5"			"	[dstr	ng"				"Po	oliti	ics"				
[58] "Coa	liti	1 "			"(ConLa	abDf'	1			"Vo	oteSy	/st"				
[61] "Scot	tPar:	2"			"H	ECPo]	licy2	2"			"Go	ovTru	ıst"				
[64] "Mona	arch	y"			"1	liEco	ono"				"Mi	Cult	ur"				
[67] "Spei	nd1"				" 2	Spend	12"				"So	ocSpr	nd1"				
[70] "Socs	Spnd	2"			" (SocSp	ond3'	'			"So	ocSpr	nd4"				
[73] "Socs	Spnd!	5"			" (SocSp	ond6'	1			"Do	ole"					

[76]	"TaxSpend"	"IncomGap"
[79]	"CMArran"	"RBGaran2"
[82]	"SepServ"	"WkMent"
[85]	"HProbRsp"	"PhsRetn"
[88]	"MntRetn"	"MntRecov"
[91]	"HCWork22"	"HCWork23"
[94]	"HCWork25"	"HCWork26"
[97]	"HCWork28"	"HCWork29"
[100]	"FalseBn2"	"RepFrau3"
[103]	"RepWho2"	"RepWho3"
[106]	"RepWho5"	"RepWho6"
[109]	"RepWho8"	"RepWho9"
[112]	"WhyNRep1"	"WhyNRep2"
[115]	"WhyNRep4"	"WhyNRep5"
[118]	"WhyNRep7"	"WhyNRep8"
[121]	"BFPnsh1"	"BFPnsh2"
[124]	"BFPnsh4"	"BFPnsh5"
[127]	"BFPnsh7"	"BFPnsh8"
[130]	"BFPnsh10"	"BFPnsh11"
[133]	"AdminPn2"	"LosofBen"
[136]	"GovDoBF"	"ImpHDoc"
[139]	"ImpHBeha"	"ImpHFam"
[142]	"ImpHJob"	"ImpHNeig"
[145]	"ImpHSafe"	"RespoHl2"
[148]	"YSBEmpl"	"YSBTrans"
[151]	"YSBSch"	"YSBAfRnt"
[154]	"YSBDesig"	"YSBShops"
[157]	"YSBLibry"	"YSBLeis"
[160]	"YSBOther"	"YSBDeps"
[163]	"HousGSD"	"Buldres"
[166]	"EdSpnd2c"	"VocVAcad"
[169]	"ATTD152"	"ATTD153"
[172]	"ATTD155"	"ATTD156"
[175]	"ATTD158"	"ATTD81"
[178]	"ATTD83"	"ATTD84"
[181]	"ATTD86"	"ATTD87"
[184]	"GCSEFur"	"GCSEWrk"
[187]	"ALevWrk"	"HEdOpp"
[190]	"HEFee"	"FeesUni"
[193]	"Himp"	"PREVFR"
[196]	"TRFPB9U"	"TrfPb10u"
[199]	"DRIVE"	"carnume"
[202]	"Bikeown2"	"BikeRid"

"SRInc" "SepInvol" "WkPhys" "PhsRecov" "HCWork21" "HCWork24" "HCWork27" "NatFrEst" "RepWho1" "RepWho4" "RepWho7" "RepWho10" "WhyNRep3" "WhyNRep6" "WhyNRep9" "BFPnsh3" "BFPnsh6" "BFPnsh9" "AwrPB" "AwrCRec" "ImpHPar" "ImpHEd" "ImpHArea" "HomsBult" "YSBGreen" "YSBAfOwn" "YSBMedic" "YSBFinan" "YSBNone" "EdSpnd1c" "ATTD151" "ATTD154" "ATTD157" "ATTD82" "ATTD85" "ATTD88" "ALevFur" "ChLikUn2" "FeesSub" "TRFPB6U" "TrfConc1" "CycDang" "TRAVEL1"

[205]] "TRAVEL2"	"TRAVEL3"	"TRAVEL4a"
[208]] "TRAVEL6"	"airtrvle"	"CCTrans1"
[211]] "CCTrans2"	"CCTrans3"	"CCTrans4"
[214]] "CCTrans5"	"CCTrans6"	"CCTrans7"
[217]] "CCTrans8"	"CCTrans9"	"CCALowE"
[220]] "CCACar"	"CCAPLANE"	"CCBELIEV"
[223]] "EUBrld"	"EUExInf2"	"EUExUne2"
[226]] "EUExIm2"	"EUExEco2"	"EUImpSov"
[229]] "LeavEUI"	"EUconte"	"EUcontu"
[232]] "EUconth"	"EULtop1"	"EULtop2"
[235]] "EULtop3"	"NHSSat"	"WhySat1"
[238]] "WhySat2"	"WhySat3"	"WhySat4"
[241]] "WhySat5"	"WhySat6"	"WhySat7"
[244]] "WhySat8"	"WhySat9"	"WhySat10"
[247]] "WhyDis1"	"WhyDis2"	"WhyDis3"
[250]] "WhyDis4"	"WhyDis5"	"WhyDis6"
[253]] "WhyDis7"	"WhyDis8"	"WhyDis9"
[256]] "WhyDis10"	"GPSat"	"DentSat"
[259]] "InpatSat"	"OutpaSat"	"AESat"
[262]] "CareSat3"	"NHSFProb"	"NHS5yrs"
[265]] "NHSNx5Yr"	"NHSAcc"	"NHSImp"
[268]] "AEtravel"	"CareNee2"	"PaySocia"
[271]] "CarePa2"	"SocFutur"	"Tranneed"
[274]] "Prejtran"	"PMS"	"HomoSex"
[277]] "SSRel"	"RSuperv"	"rocsect2e"
[280]] "REmpWork"	"REmpWrk2"	"SNumEmp"
[283]] "WkJbTim"	"ESrJbTim"	"SSrJbTim"
[286]] "WkJbHrsI"	"ExPrtFul"	"EJbHrCaI"
[289]] "SJbHrCaI"	"RPartFul"	"S2PartF1"
[292]] "Remplyee"	"UnionSA"	"TUSAEver"
[295]] "NPWork10"	"RES2010"	"RES2000"
[298]] "SLastJb2"	"S2Employ"	"S2Superv"
[301]] "S2ES2010"	"S2ES2000"	"rjbtype"
[304]] "REconSum"	"REconPos"	"RNSEGGrp"
[307]] "RNSocCl"	"RNSSECG"	"RClass"
[310]] "RClassGp"	"RSIC07GpE"	"seconsum"
[313]] "S2NSEGGp"	"S2NSSECG"	"S2NSocCl"
[316]] "S2Class"	"S2ClassG"	"WAGMIN"
[319]] "RESPPAY"	"TRCURJM"	"TRCURJN"
[322]] "TRMRSJM"	"TRMRSJN"	"TRDIFJM"
[325]] "TRDIFJN"	"PHOURS"	"REGHOUR"
[328]] "WRKCON"	"JBMRESP"	"JBMWH1"
[331]] "JBMWH2"	"JBMWH3"	"JBMWH4"

[334]	"JBMWH5"
[337]	"JBMWH8"
[340]	"MgMWld"
[343]	"ChgAsJb3"
[346]	"RetExpb"
[349]	"RPenSrc1"
[352]	"whrbrne"
[355]	"tenure2e"
[358]	"HAgdbd"
[361]	"HAYwhy"
[364]	"ResPres"
[367]	"ChAttend"
[370]	"DisNew2"
[373]	"Knowdis1"
[376]	"Knowdis4"
[379]	"Knowdis7"
[382]	"tea3"
[385]	"HEdQual3"
[388]	"Voted"
[391]	"EUVOTWHO"
[394]	"MainInc5"
[397]	"REarnD"
[400]	"knwbdri"
[403]	"knwhair"
[406]	"knwmech"
[409]	"knwtchr"
[412]	"govldif"
[415]	"whoprvca"
[418]	"actchar"
[421]	"hhmsick"
[424]	"hsococc"
[427]	"hlpadmin"
[430]	"lckcomp"
[433]	"peopadvt"
[436]	"trstprc"
[439]	"helpfrnd"
[442]	"ffrangr"
[445]	"pplcont"
[448]	"sibcon2"
[451]	"frndcont"
[454]	"depres"
[457]	"lifesat2"
[460]	"helpslf2"

"JBMWH6" "FLEXHRS" "ChgAsJb1" "ChgJbTim" "DVRetAge" "RPenSrc2" "NatIdGB" "RentPrf1" "HANotFM" "HANwhy" "ReligSum" "bestnatu2" "DisAct" "Knowdis2" "Knowdis5" "DisPrj" "HEdQual" "EUIdent" "Vote" "EURefb" "HHIncD" "REarnQ" "knwexec" "knwhr" "knwnurs" "incdiffs" "socblaz" "actgrp" "govnosa2" "hdown" "hlpmny" "hlplive" "isolate" "peoptrst" "helpeldy" "fampress" "eatout" "pplftf" "chdcon2" "contint" "diffpile" "makeem" "payback"

"JBMWH7" "MgCWld" "ChgAsJb2" "RetExp" "PenKnow2" "RPenSrc3" "NatId" "HAWhat" "LikeHA" "HsDepnd" "RlFamSum" "raceori4" "DisActDV" "Knowdis3" "Knowdis6" "Dis100" "HEdQual2" "BritID2" "EURefV2" "AnyBN3" "HHIncQ" "SelfComp" "knwclea" "knwlaw" "knwpol" "incdsml" "whoprvhc" "actpol" "hhldjob" "hadvice" "hlpjob" "hlpill" "leftout" "trstcrts" "helpslf1" "reltdemd" "newfrnd" "parcont" "othcont" "ltsgnhth" "acgoals" "langgs" "domconv"

[463]	"sitwhr"	"hmecont"	"religcon"
[466]	"spseedu"	"ben3000"	"ben3000d"
[469]	"falcatch"	"uniaff"	"unicar"
[472]	"bothearn"	"sexrole"	"womworka"
[475]	"womworkb"	"parlvmf2"	"gendwrk"
[478]	"gendmath"	"gendcomp"	"sxbstrm"
[481]	"sxbintm"	"sxbstrw"	"sxbintw"
[484]	"sxblaw"	"sxbprov"	"sxboffb"
[487]	"sxbnoone"	"sxboth"	"sxbcc"
[490]	"carwalk2"	"carbus2"	"carbike2"
[493]	"shrtjrn"	"plnallow"	"plnterm"
[496]	"plnenvt"	"plnuppri"	"cartaxhi"
[499]	"carallow"	"carreduc"	"carnod2"
[502]	"carenvdc"	"resclose"	"res20mph"
[505]	"resbumps"	"ddnodrv"	"ddnklmt"
[508]	"specamsl"	"specammo"	"specamtm"
[511]	"speedlim"	"speavesc"	"mobdsafe"
[514]	"mobddang"	"mobdban"	"mobdlaw"
[517]	"eutrdmv"	"consvfa"	"labrfa"
[520]	"libdmfa"	"ukipfa"	"rthdswa2"
[523]	"rthdsaw2"	"rthdsca2"	"rthdssa2"
[526]	"rthdsprd"	"eqrdisab"	"nhsoutp2"
[529]	"nhsinp2"	"bodimr"	"bodimop"
[532]	"girlwapp"	"tprwrong2"	"eulunem"
[535]	"eulimm"	"eulecon"	"eulwork"
[538]	"eullowi"	"eulmlow"	"eulnhs"
[541]	"jbernmny"	"jbenjoy"	"topupchn"
[544]	"topupnch"	"topuplpa"	"worknow"
[547]	"losejob"	"jbgdcurr"	"robots"
[550]	"robown"	"voteduty"	"welfhelp"
[553]	"morewelf"	"unempjob"	"sochelp"
[556]	"dolefidl"	"welffeet"	"damlives"
[559]	"proudwlf"	"redistrb"	"BigBusnn"
[562]	"wealth"	"richlaw"	"indust4"
[565]	"tradvals"	"stifsent"	"deathapp"
[568]	"obey"	"wronglaw"	"censor"
[571]	"leftrigh"	"libauth"	"welfare2"
[574]	"libauth2"	"leftrig2"	"welfgrp"
[577]	"eq_inc_deciles"	"eq_inc_quintiles"	"eq_bhcinc2_deciles"
[580]	"eq_bhcinc2_quintiles"		

3. Mean age and its 95% confidence interval

We can now produce a first set of estimates using this information and compare them with those we would have got without accounting for the survey design. We will compute the average (ie mean) age of respondents in the sample. We will need to use svymean()

svymean(~RAgeE,bsa17.s)

mean SE RAgeE 48.313 0.4236

By default svymean() computes the standard error of the mean. We need to embed it within confint() in order to get a confidence interval.

confint(svymean(~RAgeE,bsa17.s)) ### Just the confidence interval...

2.5 % 97.5 % RAgeE 47.48289 49.1433

```
round(
   c(
      svymean(~RAgeE,bsa17.s),
      confint(svymean(~RAgeE,bsa17.s))
   ),
   1) ### ... Or both, rounded
```

RAgeE 48.3 47.5 49.1

What difference would it make to the estimates and 95% CI to compute respectively, an unweighted mean, as well as a weighted mean without accounting for the survey design?

There are different ways of computing 'naive estimates' in R. Below we demonstrate how to do it 'by hand' for greater transparency.

Base R provides a function for computing the variance of a variable: var(). Since we know that:

- The standard deviation of the mean is the square root of its variance
- The standard error of a sample mean is its standard deviation divided by the square root of the sample size

 A 95% confidence interval is the sample mean respectively minus and plus 1.96 times its standard error. It is then relatively straightforward to compute unweighted and 'casually weighted' confidences intervals for the mean.

```
### Unweighted means and CI
u.m<- mean(bsa17$RAgeE)
u.se<-sqrt(var(bsa17$RAgeE))/sqrt(length(bsa17$RAgeE))
u.ci<-c(u.m - 1.96*u.se,u.m + 1.96*u.se)
round(c(u.m,u.ci),1)</pre>
```

[1] 52.2 51.6 52.8

```
### Weighted means and CI without survey design
w.m<- wtd.mean(bsa17$RAgeE,bsa17$WtFactor)
w.se<-sqrt(wtd.var(bsa17$RAgeE,bsa17$WtFactor))/sqrt(length(bsa17$RAgeE))
w.ci<-c(w.m - 1.96*w.se,w.m + 1.96*w.se)
round(c(w.m,w.ci),1)</pre>
```

[1] 48.3 47.7 48.9

Question 3

What are the consequences of not accounting for the sample design; not using weights and accounting for the sample design when:

- inferring the mean value of the population age?
- inferring the uncertainty of our estimate of the population age?

4. Computing a proportion and its 95% confidence interval

We can now similarly estimate the distribution of a categorical variable in the population by computing proportions (or percentages), for instance, the proportion of people who declare themselves interested in politics. This is the Politics variable. It has five categories that we are going to recode into 'Significantly' (interested) and 'Not' (significantly), for simplicity.

The BSA regards 'don't know' and 'refusal' responses as valid but since in this case there is only one 'don't know' and no 'refusal', we can safely ignore these categories and recode them as system missing. As before, we prefer using xtabs() over table() as it allows us to ignore unused factor levels.

attr(bsa17\$Politics,"label") ### Phrasing of the question

[1] "How much interest do you have in politics?"

drop.unused.levels = T)

```
xtabs(~as_factor(Politics),
      data=bsa17,
      drop.unused.levels = T) ### Sample distribution
```

```
as_factor(Politics)
... a great deal,
                        quite a lot,
                                                           not very much,
                                                  some,
              739
                                 982
                                                   1179
                                                                       708
 or, none at all?
                          Don`t know
              379
                                   1
bsa17$Politics.s<-ifelse(bsa17$Politics==1 | bsa17$Politics==2,</pre>
                          "Significantly",NA)
bsa17$Politics.s<-ifelse(bsa17$Politics>=3 & bsa17$Politics<=5,</pre>
                          "Not Interested", bsa17$Politics.s)
bsa17$Politics.s<-as.factor(bsa17$Politics.s)</pre>
rbind(xtabs(~as_factor(Politics.s),
      data=bsa17,
      drop.unused.levels = T) ,
      round(
        100*prop.table(
          xtabs(~as_factor(Politics),
          data=bsa17,
```

)

), 1)

	a gre	at deal,	quite	a lot,	some,	not	very much,	or,	none	at all	?
[1,]		2266.0		1721.0	2266.0		1721.0			2266.0)
[2,]		18.5		24.6	29.6		17.8			9.5	ō
	Don`t kno	W									
[1,]	172	1									
[2,]		0									

Changes in a data frame are not automatically transferred into svydesign objects used for inferences. We therefore need to recreate it each time we create or recode a variable.

Not Interested Significantly[1,]2270.6[2,]57.043.0

```
svytable(~Politics.s,
bsa17.s)),1)
```

)

Not Interested Significantly[1,]2270.6[2,]57.043.0

As with the mean of age earlier, we can see that the weighted and unweighted point estimates of the proportion of respondents significantly interested in politics differ, even if slightly, and that weighted point estimates do not differ irrespective of the survey design being accounted for.

Let us now examine the confidence intervals of these proportions. Traditional statistical software usually compute these without telling us about the underlying computations going on. By contrast, doing this in R requires more coding, but in the process we gain a better understanding of what is actually estimated.

Confidence intervals for proportion of categorical variables are usually computed as a sequence of binomial/dichotomic estimations – ie one for each category. In R this needs to be specified explicitly via the svyciprop() and I() functions. The former actually computes the proportion and its confidence interval (by default 95%), whereas the latter allows us to define the category we are focusing on (in case of non dichotomic variable).

2.5% 97.5% I(Politics.s == "Significantly") 0.430 0.411 0.450

Significantly	2.5%	97.5%
43.0	41.1	45.0

Question 4

What is the proportion of respondents aged 17-34 in the sample, as well as its 95% confidence interval? You can use *RAgecat5*

5. Domain (ie subpopulation) estimates

Computing estimates for specific groups of a sample (for example the average age of people who reported being interested in politics) is not much more difficult than doing it for the sample as a whole. However doing it as part of an inferential analysis requires some caution. Calculating weighted estimates for a subpopulation, amounts to computing second order estimates ie an estimate for a group whose size needs to be estimated first. Therefore, attempting this while leaving out of the rest of the sample might yield incorrect results. This is why using survey design informed functions is particularly recommended in such cases.

The survey package functionsvyby() makes such domain estimation relatively straightforward. For instance, if we would like to compute the mean age of BSA respondents by Government Office Regions, we need to specify:

- The outcome variable whose estimate we want to compute: ie RAgeE
- The grouping variable(s) GOR_ID

- The estimate function we are going to use here: svymean, the same as we used before
- And the type of type of variance estimation we would like to see displayed ie standard errors or confidence interval

by=~gor.f, svymean, design=bsa17.s, vartype = "ci")[-1],1)

		RAgeE	ci_⊥	ci_u
А	North East	46.1	43.6	48.6
В	North West	49.6	47.3	52.0
D	Yorkshire and The Humber	48.0	45.2	50.8
Е	East Midlands	48.6	45.9	51.3
F	West Midlands	48.1	45.0	51.2
G	East of England	49.0	46.0	52.0
Η	London	45.0	43.0	46.9
J	South East	48.0	45.1	50.8
Κ	South West	53.4	51.5	55.2
L	Wales	49.1	45.1	53.1
М	Scotland	47.3	44.7	50.0

Note: we used [-1] from the object created by svyby() in order to remove a column with alphanumeric values (the region names), so that we could round the results without getting an error.

Our inference seem to suggest that the population in London is among the youngest in the country, and that those in the South West are among the oldest – their respective 95% confidence intervals do not overlap. We should not feel so confident about differences between London and the South East for example, as the CIs partially overlap.

We can follow a similar approach with proportions: we just need to specify the category of the variable we are interested in as an outcome, for instance respondents who are significantly interested in politics, and replace svymean by svyciprop.

round(

```
100*
svyby(~I(Politics.s=="Significantly"),
    by=~gor.f,
    svyciprop,
    design=bsa17.s,
    vartype = "ci")[-1],
    1)
```

		I(Politics.s	==	"Significantly")	ci_l	ci_u
А	North East			33.4	26.6	40.9
В	North West			42.1	36.3	48.2
D	Yorkshire and The Humber			35.6	29.1	42.6
Е	East Midlands			36.9	32.9	41.1
F	West Midlands			36.3	31.5	41.5
G	East of England			47.2	41.4	53.1
Η	London			54.2	47.2	61.1
J	South East			44.6	38.7	50.8
Κ	South West			46.5	39.4	53.8
L	Wales			38.6	27.7	50.7
М	Scotland			42.7	36.0	49.8

Question 5

What is the 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people interested in politics in the South West? Is the proportion likely to be different in London? In what way? What is the region of the UK for which the precision of the estimates is likely to be the smallest?

When using svyby(), we can define domains or subpopulations with several variables, not just one. For example, we could have looked at gender differences in political affiliations by regions. However, as the size of subgroups decrease, so does the precision of the estimates as their confidence interval widens, to a point where their substantive interest is not meaningful anymore.

Question 6

Using interest in politics as before, and three category age *RAgecat5* (which you may want to recode as a factor in order to improve display clarity):

- Produce a table of results showing the proportion of respondents significantly interested in Politics by age group

- Assess whether the age difference in interest for politics is similar for each gender?

- Based on the data, is it fair to say that men aged under 35 tend to be more likely to declare themselves interested in politics than women aged 55 and above?

Answers

Question 1 The 2017 BSA is a three stage stratified random survey, with postcode sectors, adresses and individuals as the units selected at each stage. Primary sampling units were furthermore stratified according to geographies (sub regions), population density, and proportion of owner-occupiers. Sampling rate was proportional to the size of postcode sectors (ie number of addresses)

Question 2 From the Data Dictionary it appears that the primary sampling units (sub regions) are identified bySpoint and the strata byStratID. The weights variable isWtFactor. Addresses are not provided but could be approximated with a household identifier.

Question 3 Not using weights would make us overestimate the mean age in the population (of those aged 16+) by about 4 years. This is likely to be due to the fact that older respondents are more likely to take part to surveys. Using survey design variables does not alter the value of the estimated population mean. However, not accounting for them would lead us to overestimate the precision/underestimate the uncertainty of our estimate with a narrower confidence interval – by about plus and minus 2 months.

Question 4 The proportion of 17-25 year old in the sample is 28.5 and its 95% confidence interval 26.5, 30.6

Question 5 The 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people interested in politics in the South West is 39.4, 53.8. By contrast, it is likely to be 47.2, 61.1 in London. The region with the lowest precision of estimates (ie the widest confidence interval) is Wales, with a 23 percentage point difference between the upper and lower bounds of the confidence interval.

Question 6

```
by=~RAgecat5.f+Rsex.f,
svyciprop,
design=bsa17.s,
vartype = "ci")[c(-8,-4),c(-2,-1)],
1)
```

	<pre>I(Politics.s ==</pre>	"Significantly")	ci_l	ci_u
17-34.Male		42.9	37.7	48.2
35-54.Male		50.8	46.6	54.9
55+.Male		57.8	53.9	61.6
17-34.Female		26.3	22.0	31.1
35-54.Female		34.1	30.6	37.8
55+.Female		43.0	39.6	46.5

Older respondents both male and female tend to be more involved in politics than younger ones.

The confidence intervals for the proportion of men under 35 and women above 55 interested in politics overlap; it is unlikely that they differ in the population.